

From: JSHIPPO@aol.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/28/02 11:54pm
Subject: Microsoft Case

Jasper Shau
Eighth Grade

I think that Microsoft should be allowed to settle. Because Microsoft used its windows system to distribute Internet explorer, it would a kind of monopoly. However, Microsoft does not make any money off the Internet explorer browser. Netscape is being destroyed because they make their money off their browser. If Microsoft pays enough money, and if the money goes to Netscape, it should be allowed to settle. Microsoft had already made several concessions "We kept making concessions, and the government kept coming back with unreasonable demands, wanting us to install Netscape for them," Gates said. "It was like hearing "Netscape this, Netscape that," all the time." Obviously the federal government is pushing Microsoft too hard. Though if Microsoft monopolizes the browser they may charge very high fees for using it, the government would not allow them then. Even so, Microsoft says that they have no intention of doing so: "Gates says. "In no way are we eliminating choice." He also bristles at the notion that Microsoft wants to turn the Internet into its personal toll road. "We'll get our revenue from selling great software." In the Pac-bell incident, Pac-bell was split because it had a monopoly of basically the entire market. That is one extreme past example.

CC: ASKDOJ